ON FINDING ORIENTATIONS WITH FEWEST NUMBER OF VERTICES WITH SMALL OUT-DEGREE

KAVEH KHOSHKHAH*

ABSTRACT. Given an undirected graph, each of the two end-vertices of an edge can "own" the edge. Call a vertex "poor", if it owns at most one edge. We give a polynomial time algorithm for the problem of finding an assignment of owners to the edges which minimizes the number of poor vertices.

In the terminology of graph orientation, this means finding an orientation for the edges of a graph minimizing the number of edges with out-degree at most 1, and answers a question of Asahiro Jansson, Miyano, Ono (2014).

Keywords: Graph orientation, Graph algorithms.

arXiv:1410.8154v1 [cs.DM] 29 Oct 2014

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simple[1] undirected graph. An *orientation* of G is a function Λ, which maps each undirected edge $\{u,v\} \in E(G)$ to one of the two possible directed edges (u,v) or (v,u). We let $\Lambda(G)$ be the directed graph whose vertex set is $V(G)$ and whose set of (directed) edges is $\{\Lambda(\{u,v\}) \mid \{u,v\} \in E(G)\}$. For each $v \in V(G)$, denote by the *out-degree of u under* Λ by

$$d^+_\Lambda(u) := \left|\left\{\{u,v\} \in E(G) \mid \Lambda(\{u,v\}) = (u,v)\right\}\right|.$$

Fix an integer $k \geq 0$. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ is called Λ-*k-light* (or just k-light, light) if $d^+_\Lambda(v) \leq k$; otherwise it is called *heavy*. Asahiro et al. [1, 2] study the combinatorial optimization problem MIN-k-LIGHT which asks for finding an orientation minimizing the number of k-light vertices. For $k = 1$, they exhibit classes of graphs on which the problem can be solved in polynomial time, and they ask the following open question.

Question 1 ([1, 2])**.** *Is* MIN-1-LIGHT *NP-hard for general graphs?*

In this short note, we answer that question:

Theorem 2. MIN-1-LIGHT *on a graph with n_2 vertice of degree at least 2, n_1 vertices of degree 1, and m edges can be solved by single maximum cardinality matching computation in a graph with $O(m)$ vertices and $O(m^2/n)$ edges.*

Asahiro et al. [1, 2] mention a natural weighted version of the problem: the vertices have costs $c_v \in \mathbb{Q}$, $v \in V(G)$, associated with them, and the objective is to find an orientation which minimizes the expression $\sum_v c_v$ over all orientations Λ, where the sum extends over all 1-light vertices v. Our result also gives the complexity of the weighted case.

Theorem 3. *For nonnegative weights, weighted* MIN-1-LIGHT *on a graph with n vertices and m edges can be solved by single maximum weight matching computation in a graph with $O(m)$ vertices and $O(m^2/n)$ edges.*

For weights which are not nonnegative, MIN-1-LIGHT is NP-hard, since it includes as a special case (when all weights are -1) the problem MIN-1-HEAVY, for which Asahiro et al. [2] proved NP-hardness.

The proof of the theorems is in Section 2. Section 3 holds a conclusion.

Date: Tue Aug 12 13:16:33 EEST 2014.

*) Insitute of Computer Science, University of Tartu, J. Liivi 2, 50409 Tartu, Estonia. kaveh.khoshkhah@ut.ee.

[1]Note that our main reference [2] uses multigraphs, but restricting to graphs is w.l.o.g.

Some notation. We mostly adhere to standard notation. Our (undirected) edges are 2-element subsets of the vertex set. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, we denote by $\delta(v) := \{e \in E(G) \mid v \in e\}$ the set of all edges incident on v. The degree of a vertex is denoted by $d(v) := |\delta(v)|$.

2. The algorithm for Min-1-Light.

We first deal with the case that there are no vertices of degree 1. For such a graph G, construct a graph G' as follows. Denote by $d(v)$ the degree of a vertex v in G. Start by letting G' be a copy of G. Then replace every edge $e = \{u,v\}$ by a path u, u'_e, x_e, v'_e, v', by adding three new vertices u'_e, x_e, v'_e, and four new edges $\{u,u'_e\}$, $\{u'_e,x_e\}$, $\{x_e,v'_e\}$, $\{v'_e,v\}$. We call the vertices x_e *connecting vertices*, and the edges $\{u'_e,x_e\}$ (and also $\{x_e,v'_e\}$) *connecting edges,* and let $F_u := \{u'_e,x_e \mid e \in \delta(v)\}$.

Now, for each original vertex v, do the following: replace v by $d(v)-2$ new vertices $v''_1, dots, v''_{d(v)-2}$. Add $(d(v)-2)\cdot d(v)$ edges between the v''_i and the v'_e, for every i and every $e \in \delta(v)$. Finally, choose two edges $e,f \in \delta(v)$ arbitrarily, and add an edge $g_v := \{v'_e,v'_f\}$, which we call the *special edge.*

In this way, G' contains pairwise disjoint "gadgets" ($\hat{=}$ induced subgraphs) W_v, $v \in V(G)$, each with $d(v)-2+d(v)$ vertices and $(d(v)-2)\cdot d(v)$ edges. If $uv \in E(G)$, then the gadgets W_u and W_v are joined to the connecting vertex x_{uv} by $d(u)$, or $d(v)$, respectively, edges. Cf. Fig. 1. With $n := |V(G)|$ and $m := |E(G)|$, the resulting graph G' has

$$m + \sum_{v \in V(G)} \big(d(v)-2+d(v)\big) = 5m-2n \text{ vertices, and}$$

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \big((d(v)-2)d(v)+1+d(v)\big) \leq \frac{4m^2}{n} + n - 2m \text{ edges.}$$



FIGURE 1. Two "gadgets" W_u and W_v in the graph G'

The following fact is crucial in the consturction.

Lemma 4. *Let M be a maximal matching in G'. For each $v \in V(G)$, there exists a matching N_v which differs from M only on $E(W_v)$, and which satisfies either $N_v = M$ or $|N_v \cap E(W_v)| = |M \cap E(W_v)| + 1$, and for which the following holds: With $k := |M \cap F_v|$, we have*

$$\big|N_v \cap \big(E(W_v) \cup F_v\big)\big| = \begin{cases} d(v)-1, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq 1 \\ d(v), & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Proof. Let M be such a maximal matching. If $k = 0$ and the special edge g_v is not in M, then, to obtain N_v, we replace $M \cap E(W_v)$ by the edges of a perfect matching of W_v, which consists of g_v plus a perfect bipartite matching between the v''_i and the v'_e. This increases the number of edges in the matching by 1. If $k \geq 2$ and $g_v \in M$, then at least two of the vertices v''_i, $i = 1, \ldots, d(v) - 2$ are exposed. To obtain N_v, we delete g_v from M and add two edges from exposed vertices in v''_i, $i = 1, \ldots, d(v) - 2$, to the end-vertices of g_v, thus increasing the number W_v-edges in the matching by 1.

In all other cases, we leave M unchanged: $N_v := M$.

The equations (1) can now be easily derived. If $k = 0$, then $N_v \cap E(W_v)$ is a perfect matching in W_v, of size $d(v) - 1$. If $k = 1$, then any maximal matching leaves either one or one of the vertices v''_i, $i = 1, \ldots, d(v) - 2$, unmatched. If one is left unmatched, then the matching must contain the special edge g_v, so $|M \cap E(W_v)| = d(v) - 2$, implying (1). If $k \geq 2$, then taking into account that $g_v \notin N_v$, equation (1) readily follows. □

We can now prove that solving the maximum (cardinality) matching problem on G' is equivalent to solving MIN-1-LIGHT on G.

Lemma 5. *If G has no vertices of degree 1, then* MIN-1-LIGHT *on G can be solved by computing a maximum matching in G'.*

Proof. Firstly, consider an orientation Λ of G. We will construct a matching $M = M(\Lambda)$ in G' with the property that, for all $v \in V(G)$,

$$d(v) - M \cap \big(E(W_v) \cup F_v\big) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d^+_\Lambda(v) \leq 1, \text{ and} \\ 0, & \text{if } d^+_\Lambda(v) \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{2a}$$

and so

$$\big|\big\{v \in V(G) \;\big|\; d^+_\Lambda(v) \leq 1\big\}\big| = 2m - |M|. \tag{2b}$$

For every directed edge (u,v) in $\Lambda(G)$, choose the edge $\{u'_e, x_e\}$ to be in M. This means that, for every $v \in V(G)$, we have

$$|M \cap F_v| = d^+_\Lambda(v). \tag{$*$}$$

Then extend M arbitrarily to a maximal matching by adding edges from the $E(W_v)$, $v \in V(G)$. Note that M is unchanged on the sets F_v, $v \in V(G)$, so that $(*)$ still holds. Finally, for each $v \in V(G)$, apply Lemma 4, and replace the edges in $M \cap E(W_v)$, by the edges of $N_v \cap E(W_v)$. The result is a matching satisfying (2).

Secondly, let M be a maximum matching in G'. We will construct an orientation $\Lambda = \Lambda(M)$ of G satisfying (2). For each $\{u,v\} \in E(G)$, if $\{u'_e, x_e\} \in M$, let $\Lambda(\{u,v\}) := (u,v)$; if $\{v'_e, x_e\} \in M$, let $\Lambda(\{u,v\}) := (v,u)$. If the vertex x_e is M-exposed, then chose one of (u,v), (v,u) arbitrarily for $\Lambda(\{u,v\})$.

In view of Lemma 4, M must coincide with each of the N_v, and hence the equations (1) hold. But, by the construction of Λ, for each $v \in V(G)$,

$$|M \cap F_v| \leq d^+_\Lambda(v). \tag{$*$}$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\Big|\big\{v \in V(G) \;\big|\; d^+_\Lambda(v) \leq 1\big\}\Big| \leq \Big|\big\{v \in V(G) \;\big|\; |M \cap F_v| \leq 2\big\}\Big| = 2m - |M(\Lambda)|.$$

We conclude. Denoting by π the smallest number of light vertices in any orientation of G, and by μ the largest cardinality of a matching in G', we have

$$\pi \leq \Big|\big\{v \in V(G) \;\big|\; d^+_{\Lambda(M)}(v) \leq 1\big\}\Big| = 2m - \mu \leq 2m - |M(\Lambda)| \leq \pi,$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma. □

We can now prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. To get rid of vertices of degree 1 in the input graph, for each such vertex v, add three more vertices v_1, v_2, and four edges $\{v, v_1\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_2, v_3\}$, $\{v_3, v\}$. In other words, we replace each degree-1 vertex by a 4-cycle. A 4-cycle can have 2 heavy vertices, opposite each other, and the other 2 vertices will be light; the edge leaving the cycle will not change that. From this, it can be readily verified that MIN-1-LIGHT on the original graph is equivalent to MIN-1-LIGHT on the modified graph. Lemma 5 now yields the result. □

The weighted case. The weighted case differs only in technical aspects.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 3. First of all, note that the degree-1 vertices can be taken care of in just the same way as in the non-weighted case: just give the new vertices a cost of 0. Then, walking through the proof of Lemma 5, we see that the argument is still valid for weighted matchings and costs punishing the light vertices. Indeed, that's the reason why we phrased Lemma 4 in the way we did: if c_v is the cost incurred if vertex v is light, give each edge in $E(W_v) \cup F_v \subset E(G')$ a weight of c_v. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 4, denoting by π the cost incurred by the light vertices in any orientation of G, and by μ the largest weight of a matching in G', and with $Q := \sum_{e=\{u,v\} \in E(G)} (c_u + c_v)$, it's easy to show that

$$\pi \leq \left| \left\{ v \in V(G) \;\middle|\; d^+_{\Lambda(M)}(v) \leq 1 \right\} \right| = Q - \mu \leq Q - |M(\Lambda)| \leq \pi,$$

which concludes the proof of the theorem. □

3. CONCLUSION

Seeing as weighted MIN-1-LIGHT can be solved in polynomial time by matching techniques for nonnegative weights, it is natural to ask for a description by linear inequalities of the polyhedron $P_G \subset \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ defined by the problem: P_G is the dominant (see [4] for details) of the convex hull of the points $x(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$, which have $x(\Lambda)_v = 1$ if v is Λ-poor, and $x(\Lambda)_v = 0$ otherwise.

Kyncl et al. [3] study the so-called minimum irreversible k-conversion problem, which is closely related to MIN-$*$-LIGHT. In fact, the only difference between MIN-k-LIGHT and Minimum Irreversible $(k+1)$-Conversion is that the latter requires the orientations to be acyclic. Kyncl et al. prove that Minimum Irreversible 2-conversion is NP-hard, even for graphs of maximum degree 4, but for 3-regular graphs, it is equivalent to finding a vertex feedback set (which can be done in poly-time [5]).

Since the complexity of Minimum Irreversible 2-Conversion is open for subcubic graphs, in the light of our result, we conjecture that there might be a matching-based algorithm for that problem.

I would like to thank Dirk Oliver Theis for his support, inspiring discussions and useful comments.

REFERENCES

1. Yuichi Asahiro, Jesper Jansson, Eiji Miyano, and Hirotaka Ono, *Graph orientations optimizing the number of light or heavy vertices*, ISCO, 2012, pp. 332–343.
2. _____, *Degree-constrained graph orientation: Maximum satisfaction and minimum violation*, WAOA, 2013, pp. 24–36.
3. Jan Kyncl, Bernard Lidickỳ, and Tomáš Vyskocil, *Irreversible 2-conversion set is np-complete*, KAM-DIMATIA Series 933, 2009.
4. Alexander Schrijver, *Combinatorial optimization. Polyhedra and efficiency.*, Algorithms and Combinatorics, vol. 24, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. MR 1956926
5. Shuichi Ueno, Yoji Kajitani, and Shin'ya Gotoh, *On the nonseparating independent set problem and feedback set problem for graphs with no vertex degree exceeding three*, Discrete Mathematics **72** (1988), no. 1-3, 355–360.